1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA - WESTERN DIVISION
3	HONORABLE OTIS D. WRIGHT
4	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE PRESIDING
5	
6	
7	Ingenuity 13 LLC, ) PLAINTIFF, )
8	VS. ) NO. CV 12-8333 ODW
9	John Doe, et al., ) DEFENDANT, )
10	)
11	
12	
13	REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
14	LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA
15	TUESDAY, APRIL 2, 2013
16	
17	
18	
19	KATIE E. THIBODEAUX, CSR 9858 U.S. Official Court Reporter
20	312 North Spring Street, #436 Los Angeles, California 90012
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1

```
1
     APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL:
 2
 3
    FOR RESPONDENT GIBBS:
 4
          WAXLER CARNER BRODSKY LLP
          BY: ANDREW J. WAXLER
 5
          -and- BARRY BRODSKY
          1960 E. Grand Avenue
          Suite 1210
 6
          El Segundo, CA 90245
 7
 8
 9
    FOR DEFENDANT:
10
          THE PIETZ LAW FIRM
          BY: MORGAN E. PIETZ
11
          3770 Highland Avenue
          Suite 206
12
          Manhattan Beach, CA 90266
13
          -and-
14
          NICHOLAS RANALLO LAW OFFICES
          BY: NICHOLAS R. RANALLO
15
          371 Dogwood Way
          Boulder Creek, CA 95006
16
17
18
     FOR RESPONDENTS DUFFY, VAN DEN HEMEL & PRENDA LAW:
19
          KLINEDINST LAW OFFICES
          BY: HEATHER ROSING
20
          -and- PHILIP W. VINEYARD III
          -and- DAVID M. MAJCHRZAK
          501 W. Broadway
21
          Suite 600
22
          San Diego, CA 92101
2.3
24
25
```

```
1
     APPEARANCES: (Cont'd)
 2
 3
     FOR RESPONDENT HANSMEIER:
          BAKER, KEENER & NAHRA LLP
 4
          BY: PHILLIP A. BAKER
 5
          -and- DANIEL PATRICK LEONARD
          633 West Fifth Street
          Fifty-fourth Floor
 6
          Los Angeles, CA 90071
 7
 8
     FOR RESPONDENT STEELE:
 9
          MURPHY, PEARSON, BRADLEY & FEENEY
          BY: THOMAS P. MAZZUCCO
10
          -and- TIMOTHY J. HALLORAN
          88 Kearny Street
          Tenth Floor
11
          San Francisco, CA 94108
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1 LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA; TUESDAY, APRIL 2, 2013 2 10:00 A.M. 3 4 5 THE CLERK: Calling Item No. 1, CR 12-8333, ODW, 6 7 Ingenuity 13, LLC, versus John Doe, et al. 8 Counsel, please state your appearances. 9 MR. PIETZ: Morgan Pietz, P-I-E-T-Z, for the 10 putative John Doe defendant in 12-CV-8333. 11 MR. RANALLO: And Nicholas Ranallo for the same 12 Doe. 13 THE COURT: Morning, counsel. 14 MR. WAXLER: Andrew Waxler and Barry Brodsky, both 15 for Brett Gibbs who is here today. 16 THE COURT: By the way, thank you for your 17 submittal with respect to your efforts to effect service. Thank you. 18 19 MR. BAKER: Phil Baker and Dan Leonard specially 20 appearing for Paul Hansmeier. 21 MR. LEONARD: Morning, your Honor. MR. BAKER: And he is present today. 22 THE COURT: Where? 2.3 24 MR. BAKER: Mr. Hansmeier, will you stand up. 25 THE COURT: Front row.

4

<ul> <li>Halloran, Thomas Mazzacco on behalf of John Steele who is</li> <li>also present.</li> <li>THE COURT: Mr. Steele.</li> <li>MR. STEELE: Yes.</li> <li>MS. ROSING: Morning, your Honor. Heather Rosing</li> <li>with Klinedinst PC with my colleagues Phil Vineyard and</li> <li>Dave Majchrzak appearing on behalf of Paul Duffy, Angela</li> <li>Van Den Hemel and Prenda Law, and Mr. Duffy and</li> <li>Ms. Van Den Hemel are in the audience today.</li> <li>THE COURT: Thank you.</li> <li>Is that it?</li> <li>MR. BAKER: Your Honor?</li> <li>THE COURT: Yes.</li> <li>MR. BAKER: There are other individuals pursuant</li> <li>to your order here. They are not represented.</li> <li>THE COURT: Mark Lutz?</li> <li>MR. BAKER: Yes, he is present.</li> <li>MR. LUTZ: Yes.</li> <li>THE COURT: Mr. Lutz, welcome, sir. Did Alan</li> <li>well, do we have an Alan Cooper? Any Alan Cooper?</li> <li>(No response.)</li> <li>THE COURT: All right. Peter Hansmeier?</li> <li>MR. HANSMEIER: Yes, your Honor.</li> <li>THE COURT: Good morning, sir.</li> </ul>	1	MR. HALLORAN: Morning your Honor. My name is Tim
<ul> <li>THE COURT: Mr. Steele.</li> <li>MR. STEELE: Yes.</li> <li>MS. ROSING: Morning, your Honor. Heather Rosing</li> <li>with Klinedinst PC with my colleagues Phil Vineyard and</li> <li>Dave Majchrzak appearing on behalf of Paul Duffy, Angela</li> <li>Van Den Hemel and Prenda Law, and Mr. Duffy and</li> <li>Ms. Van Den Hemel are in the audience today.</li> <li>THE COURT: Thank you.</li> <li>Is that it?</li> <li>MR. BAKER: Your Honor?</li> <li>THE COURT: Yes.</li> <li>MR. BAKER: There are other individuals pursuant</li> <li>to your order here. They are not represented.</li> <li>THE COURT: Mark Lutz?</li> <li>MR. BAKER: Yes, he is present.</li> <li>MR. BAKER: Yes.</li> <li>THE COURT: Mr. Lutz, welcome, sir. Did Alan</li> <li>well, do we have an Alan Cooper? Any Alan Cooper?</li> <li>CNO response.)</li> <li>THE COURT: All right. Peter Hansmeier?</li> <li>MR. HANSMEIER: Yes, your Honor.</li> </ul>	2	Halloran, Thomas Mazzacco on behalf of John Steele who is
5MR. STEELE: Yes.6MS. ROSING: Morning, your Honor. Heather Rosing7with Klinedinst PC with my colleagues Phil Vineyard and8Dave Majchrzak appearing on behalf of Paul Duffy, Angela9Van Den Hemel and Prenda Law, and Mr. Duffy and10Ms. Van Den Hemel are in the audience today.11THE COURT: Thank you.12Is that it?13MR. BAKER: Your Honor?14THE COURT: Yes.15MR. BAKER: There are other individuals pursuant16to your order here. They are not represented.17THE COURT: Mark Lutz?18MR. BAKER: Yes, he is present.19MR. LUTZ: Yes.20THE COURT: Mr. Lutz, welcome, sir. Did Alan21Well, do we have an Alan Cooper? Any Alan Cooper?22(No response.)23THE COURT: All right. Peter Hansmeier?24MR. HANSMEIER: Yes, your Honor.	3	also present.
<ul> <li>MS. ROSING: Morning, your Honor. Heather Rosing</li> <li>with Klinedinst PC with my colleagues Phil Vineyard and</li> <li>Dave Majchrzak appearing on behalf of Paul Duffy, Angela</li> <li>Van Den Hemel and Prenda Law, and Mr. Duffy and</li> <li>Ms. Van Den Hemel are in the audience today.</li> <li>THE COURT: Thank you.</li> <li>Is that it?</li> <li>MR. BAKER: Your Honor?</li> <li>THE COURT: Yes.</li> <li>MR. BAKER: There are other individuals pursuant</li> <li>to your order here. They are not represented.</li> <li>THE COURT: Mark Lutz?</li> <li>MR. BAKER: Yes, he is present.</li> <li>MR. LUTZ: Yes.</li> <li>THE COURT: Mr. Lutz, welcome, sir. Did Alan</li> <li>well, do we have an Alan Cooper? Any Alan Cooper?</li> <li>(No response.)</li> <li>THE COURT: All right. Peter Hansmeier?</li> <li>MR. HANSMEIER: Yes, your Honor.</li> </ul>	4	THE COURT: Mr. Steele.
<ul> <li>with Klinedinst PC with my colleagues Phil Vineyard and</li> <li>Dave Majchrzak appearing on behalf of Paul Duffy, Angela</li> <li>Van Den Hemel and Prenda Law, and Mr. Duffy and</li> <li>Ms. Van Den Hemel are in the audience today.</li> <li>THE COURT: Thank you.</li> <li>Is that it?</li> <li>MR. BAKER: Your Honor?</li> <li>THE COURT: Yes.</li> <li>MR. BAKER: There are other individuals pursuant</li> <li>to your order here. They are not represented.</li> <li>THE COURT: Mark Lutz?</li> <li>MR. BAKER: Yes, he is present.</li> <li>MR. LUTZ: Yes.</li> <li>THE COURT: Mr. Lutz, welcome, sir. Did Alan</li> <li>well, do we have an Alan Cooper? Any Alan Cooper?</li> <li>(No response.)</li> <li>THE COURT: All right. Peter Hansmeier?</li> <li>MR. HANSMEIER: Yes, your Honor.</li> </ul>	5	MR. STEELE: Yes.
8       Dave Majchrzak appearing on behalf of Paul Duffy, Angela         9       Van Den Hemel and Prenda Law, and Mr. Duffy and         10       Ms. Van Den Hemel are in the audience today.         11       THE COURT: Thank you.         12       Is that it?         13       MR. BAKER: Your Honor?         14       THE COURT: Yes.         15       MR. BAKER: There are other individuals pursuant         16       to your order here. They are not represented.         17       THE COURT: Mark Lutz?         18       MR. BAKER: Yes, he is present.         19       MR. LUTZ: Yes.         20       THE COURT: Mr. Lutz, welcome, sir. Did Alan         21       (No response.)         23       THE COURT: All right. Peter Hansmeier?         24       MR. HANSMEIER: Yes, your Honor.	6	MS. ROSING: Morning, your Honor. Heather Rosing
<ul> <li>9 Van Den Hemel and Prenda Law, and Mr. Duffy and</li> <li>10 Ms. Van Den Hemel are in the audience today.</li> <li>11 THE COURT: Thank you.</li> <li>12 Is that it?</li> <li>13 MR. BAKER: Your Honor?</li> <li>14 THE COURT: Yes.</li> <li>15 MR. BAKER: There are other individuals pursuant</li> <li>16 to your order here. They are not represented.</li> <li>17 THE COURT: Mark Lutz?</li> <li>18 MR. BAKER: Yes, he is present.</li> <li>19 MR. LUTZ: Yes.</li> <li>20 THE COURT: Mr. Lutz, welcome, sir. Did Alan</li> <li>21 well, do we have an Alan Cooper? Any Alan Cooper?</li> <li>22 (No response.)</li> <li>23 THE COURT: All right. Peter Hansmeier?</li> <li>24 MR. HANSMEIER: Yes, your Honor.</li> </ul>	7	with Klinedinst PC with my colleagues Phil Vineyard and
<ul> <li>Ms. Van Den Hemel are in the audience today.</li> <li>THE COURT: Thank you.</li> <li>Is that it?</li> <li>MR. BAKER: Your Honor?</li> <li>THE COURT: Yes.</li> <li>MR. BAKER: There are other individuals pursuant</li> <li>to your order here. They are not represented.</li> <li>THE COURT: Mark Lutz?</li> <li>MR. BAKER: Yes, he is present.</li> <li>MR. LUTZ: Yes.</li> <li>THE COURT: Mr. Lutz, welcome, sir. Did Alan</li> <li>well, do we have an Alan Cooper? Any Alan Cooper?</li> <li>(No response.)</li> <li>THE COURT: All right. Peter Hansmeier?</li> <li>MR. HANSMEIER: Yes, your Honor.</li> </ul>	8	Dave Majchrzak appearing on behalf of Paul Duffy, Angela
<ul> <li>11 THE COURT: Thank you.</li> <li>12 Is that it?</li> <li>13 MR. BAKER: Your Honor?</li> <li>14 THE COURT: Yes.</li> <li>15 MR. BAKER: There are other individuals pursuant</li> <li>16 to your order here. They are not represented.</li> <li>17 THE COURT: Mark Lutz?</li> <li>18 MR. BAKER: Yes, he is present.</li> <li>19 MR. LUTZ: Yes.</li> <li>20 THE COURT: Mr. Lutz, welcome, sir. Did Alan</li> <li>21 well, do we have an Alan Cooper? Any Alan Cooper?</li> <li>22 (No response.)</li> <li>23 THE COURT: All right. Peter Hansmeier?</li> <li>24 MR. HANSMEIER: Yes, your Honor.</li> </ul>	9	Van Den Hemel and Prenda Law, and Mr. Duffy and
<ul> <li>Is that it?</li> <li>MR. BAKER: Your Honor?</li> <li>THE COURT: Yes.</li> <li>MR. BAKER: There are other individuals pursuant</li> <li>to your order here. They are not represented.</li> <li>THE COURT: Mark Lutz?</li> <li>MR. BAKER: Yes, he is present.</li> <li>MR. LUTZ: Yes.</li> <li>THE COURT: Mr. Lutz, welcome, sir. Did Alan</li> <li>well, do we have an Alan Cooper? Any Alan Cooper?</li> <li>(No response.)</li> <li>THE COURT: All right. Peter Hansmeier?</li> <li>MR. HANSMEIER: Yes, your Honor.</li> </ul>	10	Ms. Van Den Hemel are in the audience today.
<ul> <li>MR. BAKER: Your Honor?</li> <li>THE COURT: Yes.</li> <li>MR. BAKER: There are other individuals pursuant</li> <li>to your order here. They are not represented.</li> <li>THE COURT: Mark Lutz?</li> <li>MR. BAKER: Yes, he is present.</li> <li>MR. LUTZ: Yes.</li> <li>THE COURT: Mr. Lutz, welcome, sir. Did Alan</li> <li>vell, do we have an Alan Cooper? Any Alan Cooper?</li> <li>(No response.)</li> <li>THE COURT: All right. Peter Hansmeier?</li> <li>MR. HANSMEIER: Yes, your Honor.</li> </ul>	11	THE COURT: Thank you.
<ul> <li>14 THE COURT: Yes.</li> <li>15 MR. BAKER: There are other individuals pursuant</li> <li>16 to your order here. They are not represented.</li> <li>17 THE COURT: Mark Lutz?</li> <li>18 MR. BAKER: Yes, he is present.</li> <li>19 MR. LUTZ: Yes.</li> <li>20 THE COURT: Mr. Lutz, welcome, sir. Did Alan</li> <li>21 well, do we have an Alan Cooper? Any Alan Cooper?</li> <li>22 (No response.)</li> <li>23 THE COURT: All right. Peter Hansmeier?</li> <li>24 MR. HANSMEIER: Yes, your Honor.</li> </ul>	12	Is that it?
<ul> <li>MR. BAKER: There are other individuals pursuant</li> <li>to your order here. They are not represented.</li> <li>THE COURT: Mark Lutz?</li> <li>MR. BAKER: Yes, he is present.</li> <li>MR. LUTZ: Yes.</li> <li>THE COURT: Mr. Lutz, welcome, sir. Did Alan</li> <li>well, do we have an Alan Cooper? Any Alan Cooper?</li> <li>(No response.)</li> <li>THE COURT: All right. Peter Hansmeier?</li> <li>MR. HANSMEIER: Yes, your Honor.</li> </ul>	13	MR. BAKER: Your Honor?
<ul> <li>to your order here. They are not represented.</li> <li>THE COURT: Mark Lutz?</li> <li>MR. BAKER: Yes, he is present.</li> <li>MR. LUTZ: Yes.</li> <li>THE COURT: Mr. Lutz, welcome, sir. Did Alan</li> <li>well, do we have an Alan Cooper? Any Alan Cooper?</li> <li>(No response.)</li> <li>THE COURT: All right. Peter Hansmeier?</li> <li>MR. HANSMEIER: Yes, your Honor.</li> </ul>	14	THE COURT: Yes.
17 THE COURT: Mark Lutz? 18 MR. BAKER: Yes, he is present. 19 MR. LUTZ: Yes. 20 THE COURT: Mr. Lutz, welcome, sir. Did Alan 21 well, do we have an Alan Cooper? Any Alan Cooper? 22 (No response.) 23 THE COURT: All right. Peter Hansmeier? 24 MR. HANSMEIER: Yes, your Honor.	15	MR. BAKER: There are other individuals pursuant
<ul> <li>MR. BAKER: Yes, he is present.</li> <li>MR. LUTZ: Yes.</li> <li>THE COURT: Mr. Lutz, welcome, sir. Did Alan</li> <li>well, do we have an Alan Cooper? Any Alan Cooper?</li> <li>(No response.)</li> <li>THE COURT: All right. Peter Hansmeier?</li> <li>MR. HANSMEIER: Yes, your Honor.</li> </ul>	16	to your order here. They are not represented.
<ul> <li>MR. LUTZ: Yes.</li> <li>THE COURT: Mr. Lutz, welcome, sir. Did Alan</li> <li>well, do we have an Alan Cooper? Any Alan Cooper?</li> <li>(No response.)</li> <li>THE COURT: All right. Peter Hansmeier?</li> <li>MR. HANSMEIER: Yes, your Honor.</li> </ul>	17	THE COURT: Mark Lutz?
20 THE COURT: Mr. Lutz, welcome, sir. Did Alan 21 well, do we have an Alan Cooper? Any Alan Cooper? 22 (No response.) 23 THE COURT: All right. Peter Hansmeier? 24 MR. HANSMEIER: Yes, your Honor.	18	MR. BAKER: Yes, he is present.
21 well, do we have an Alan Cooper? Any Alan Cooper? 22 (No response.) 23 THE COURT: All right. Peter Hansmeier? 24 MR. HANSMEIER: Yes, your Honor.	19	MR. LUTZ: Yes.
<ul> <li>22 (No response.)</li> <li>23 THE COURT: All right. Peter Hansmeier?</li> <li>24 MR. HANSMEIER: Yes, your Honor.</li> </ul>	20	THE COURT: Mr. Lutz, welcome, sir. Did Alan
<ul> <li>THE COURT: All right. Peter Hansmeier?</li> <li>MR. HANSMEIER: Yes, your Honor.</li> </ul>	21	well, do we have an Alan Cooper? Any Alan Cooper?
24 MR. HANSMEIER: Yes, your Honor.	22	(No response.)
	23	THE COURT: All right. Peter Hansmeier?
25 THE COURT: Good morning, sir.	24	MR. HANSMEIER: Yes, your Honor.
	25	THE COURT: Good morning, sir.

5

1	MR. HANSMEIER: Morning.
2	THE COURT: Any representatives of any other
3	representatives of Prenda Law, Livewire Holdings, AF
4	Holdings other than Mr. Lutz, Ingenuity 13 other than
5	Mr. Lutz and 6881 Forensics, LLC.
6	MS. ROSING: Mr. Duffy is appearing on behalf of
7	Prenda Law, your Honor.
8	THE COURT: All right. Here is my interest, and
9	we can proceed in any way that seems to make sense. I am
10	pleasantly surprised that we have everyone here.
11	Otherwise, I was going to be forced to draw reasonable
12	inferences from the facts as I know them.
13	It should be clear by now that this court's
14	focus has now shifted dramatically from the area of
15	protecting intellectual property rights to attorney
16	misconduct such misconduct which I think brings discredit
17	to the profession. That is much more of a concern now to
18	this court than what this litigation initially was about.
19	Mr. Steele well, let me do it this way. I
20	have questions of Mr. Steele. Mr. Steele can choose to
21	answer those questions or not. The same applies for
	Mr. Duffy and Mr. Hansmeier.
22	THE Durry and THE Honometer.
22 23	Now, as the attorneys, how do you all propose
	-

1	THE COURT: Well, actually, we don't have one, but
2	we do have a lecturn and you are free to use it.
3	MR. MAZZUCCO: Thomas Mazzucco on behalf of
4	Mr. Steele.
5	Your Honor, in light of some of the
6	information that was in the transcript of March 11th,
7	2013 in this courtroom and some of the concerns that this
8	court has mentioned, at this point in time, if Mr. Steele
9	is called to testify, he is going to exercise his Fifth
10	Amendment privilege against forced testimony.
11	And we state for two reasons, one, there were
12	serious allegations made by the court and others of not
13	just attorney misconduct but the word fraud was used
14	several times in the transcript.
15	THE COURT: Should have been.
16	MR. MAZZUCCO: The next step is there is also an
17	issue involving attorney-client privilege. If Mr. Steele
18	was to testify, that privilege belongs to the client.
19	THE COURT: Which client might that be?
20	MR. MAZZUCCO: That would be several of his
21	clients. Mr. Halloran is going to handle that part of
22	the argument, but that is a two pronged argument, your
23	Honor.
24	THE COURT: Are you talking about AF Holdings,
25	Ingenuity 13, those clients?

Yes. 1 MR. MAZZUCCO: THE COURT: And you think there is a difference 2 between those clients and Mr. Steele? 3 MR. MAZZUCCO: I think there is, your Honor, yes. 4 5 THE COURT: From what I know about this case, 6 there is no difference at all, but that is why I am glad 7 Mr. Steele is here. Maybe he can clarify some of those 8 things, but if you say answering those kinds of questions 9 would incriminate him, I'll take you at your word. 10 MR. MAZZUCCO: No, your Honor. I'm not saying 11 they are going to incriminate him. I said it is his 12 Fifth Amendment privilege against forced testimony. 13 There was language on the record from March 11th where 14 this court made some accusatory statements about fraud 15 upon the court, things that were in the transcript. 16 THE COURT: Yes. 17 MR. MAZZUCCO: You leave my client with no 18 alternative but. 19 THE COURT: To rebut those statements. 20 MR. MAZZUCCO: He can rebut those statements in 21 the proper venue, your Honor. This is an order to show 22 cause in front of this court. 23 THE COURT: Let's cut to the chase. I am really not interested in -- I want to know if some of my 24 25 conjecture is accurate. The only way I can find out is

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

1	to have the principles here and answer those questions.
2	Now, if you say he will not answer those
3	questions, then I will draw whatever inferences I think
4	are reasonable from the facts as I know them. This is an
5	opportunity for him to protect himself, to defend and
6	protect himself. It is up to him. So you are saying he
7	doesn't want to answer any questions, fine. I am not
8	going to go through the charade of asking the questions
9	and have him assert the Fifth.
10	MR. MAZZUCCO: Your Honor, he is not going to
11	respond to your questions.
12	THE COURT: All right. Fine.
13	What about Mr. Hansmeier? What is his
14	position, the same?
15	MR. BAKER: The exact same, your Honor.
16	THE COURT: All right. You may be seated.
17	Mr. Duffy.
18	MS. ROSING: Your Honor, Mr. Duffy and
19	Ms. Van Den Hemel will also be taking the fifth
20	amendment. Though, in response to your desire for
21	additional information, I do have approximately 25
22	minutes of argument, and I do have some exhibits that are
23	judicially noticeable.
24	THE COURT: On what? Relevant to what?
25	MS. ROSING: To the seven issues pending before

1 this court. THE COURT: Give me the Cliff Note version. Just 2 3 give me a summary, what it is that you would like to --MS. ROSING: Well, your Honor, what I would like 4 5 to argue because my clients are entitled to a reasonable 6 opportunity to be heard, we weren't allowed --7 THE COURT: Excuse me. They are giving up that right to be heard. Now, what have you got to say that is 8 under oath? 9 10 MS. ROSING: Well, your Honor, my arguments are 11 legal arguments. 12 THE COURT: I know. I am looking for facts. I 13 really am. I am not a looking for legal arguments. 14 MS. ROSING: Well, your Honor --15 THE COURT: Can you tell me, for example, who 16 directs the litigation here in California? Who makes the 17 decision as to whether or not cases are dismissed or 18 settled for how much money? Can you tell me that? 19 MS. ROSING: Your Honor, I can't testify. 20 THE COURT: "Yes" or "no", please. Because we need to move through this. Can you tell me that? 21 MS. ROSING: I personally cannot tell you that, 22 23 your Honor. 24 THE COURT: All right. Do you know whether or not 25 there is another Alan Cooper other than the one that was

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

1	here at the last hearing?
2	MS. ROSING: I am not aware of another Alan
3	Cooper, your Honor.
4	THE COURT: All right. Good.
5	What happens to the settlement money?
6	MS. ROSING: Your Honor, obviously, I represent
7	Mr. Duffy and Ms. Van Den Hemel. I don't have personal
8	knowledge of any of this.
9	THE COURT: Why weren't notices of related cases
10	filed? Who made the decision to hide from the court the
11	fact that all of these cases were related.
12	MS. ROSING: I do have a judicially noticeable
13	document on that, your Honor, where the Northern District
14	declined to relate the cases.
15	THE COURT: That is a different thing. That is
16	consolidating them.
17	MS. ROSING: It is actually an order declining to
18	relate them.
19	THE COURT: Same plaintiff, same film, same causes
20	of action, and they are not related? Excuse me?
21	Okay. Tell me this. Who made the decision
22	not to disclose to the court the fact that the law firms
23	have a financial interest in the outcome of this
24	litigation?
25	MS. ROSING: Your Honor, there is no evidence

11

1	before this court at all that the law firm or any, well,
2	certainly, my clients, Paul Duffy or Angela Van Den
3	Hemel, have any financial interest in the outcome of this
4	litigation.
5	THE COURT: Excuse me. Did you read Hansmeier's
6	deposition?
7	MS. ROSING: Yes, I did, your Honor.
8	THE COURT: And then you make the statement you
9	just made?
10	MS. ROSING: Your Honor, there is no evidence that
11	Mr. Duffy or Ms. Van Den Hemel who is a W2 paralegal at
12	Prenda Law
13	THE COURT: I understand that.
14	MS. ROSING: And I would be happy
15	THE COURT: Wait a minute. The money goes to
16	Prenda Law's trust account; right?
17	MS. ROSING: Your Honor, I have no personal
18	knowledge, and I can't testify. But I do have an
19	argument I would like to present to your Honor.
20	THE COURT: Relative to what? To anything I just
21	asked?
22	MS. ROSING: Well, your Honor, it is a legal
23	argument with some objections and some judicially
24	noticeable documents.
25	THE COURT: Relative to what?

1	MS. ROSING: Well, the seven issues before the
2	court, the Alan Cooper issue, the discovery order issue,
3	the Wagar investigation, the Denton investigation, Form
4	CV30, the relationships, and March 11, the things that
5	are noticed in this court's OSC.
6	But, your Honor, we would be happy to submit
7	this in a brief if that would be more
8	THE COURT: Good. Do that. Thank you.
9	We are done.
10	(Proceedings concluded.)
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	CERTIFICATE
2	
3	
4	I hereby certify that pursuant to Section 753, Title 28,
5	United States Code, the foregoing is a true and correct
6	transcript of the stenographically reported proceedings held
7	in the above-entitled matter and that the transcript page
8	format is in conformance with the regulations of the
9	Judicial Conference of the United States.
10	Date: April 5, 2013
11	
12	/s/ Katie Thibodeaux, CSR No. 9858, RPR, CRR
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	